

<b>Criteria</b>	<b>Excellent</b>	<b>Proficient</b>	<b>Developing</b>	<b>Not Demonstrated/ Incomplete</b>
Organization and execution of audit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food Waste Audit Submission form fully completed, providing rich detail</li> <li>• Created system to ensure food waste was placed in correct bin</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food Waste Audit Submission form complete but detail is not specific</li> <li>• Created adequate system for food waste sorting</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food Waste Audit Submission form is mostly complete but missing key information (e.g. demographics, number of students who buy lunch)</li> <li>• Created a system for food waste sorting</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Food Waste Audit Submission form is incomplete</li> <li>• Did not create a clear system to ensure food waste was placed in correct bins</li> <li>• Form completed late</li> </ul>
<b>Scoring</b>	30 29 28 27 26	25 24 23 22 21	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11 10
Data collection and waste results	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waste measurement data clear for all categories</li> <li>• Includes 10 unique and detailed photos of audit process and results</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waste measurement data mostly clear</li> <li>• Includes 10 photos of audit process with some repetition of detail</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waste measurement data lacking some details</li> <li>• Includes less than 10 photos of audit process but submissions lack detail</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Waste measurement data missing key aspects</li> <li>• Does not include photos or photos do not adequately show audit process</li> </ul>
<b>Scoring</b>	30 29 28 27 26	25 24 23 22 21	20 19 18 17 16	15 14 13 12 11 10

<p>Reflections and recommendations for implemented strategies</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Recognizes key sources of food waste</li> <li>• Food waste reduction strategies are organized and detailed</li> <li>• Data collected for this project informs the responses</li> <li>• Critical thinking responses written with thought-provoking insight into the audit</li> <li>• Critical thinking responses use appropriate grammatical conventions and error free spelling</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cites some important sources of food waste</li> <li>• Strategies for food waste reduction are organized and somewhat detailed</li> <li>• Responses refer to data</li> <li>• Critical thinking responses are organized and give good details into team’s experience during audit</li> <li>• Critical thinking responses contain no more than two grammatical or spelling errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Major sources of food waste not recognized</li> <li>• Food reduction plan is organized but too general</li> <li>• Data incidental to responses</li> <li>• Responses to critical thinking questions are adequate but lack detail or insight</li> <li>• Critical thinking responses have more than two grammatical or spelling errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Does not include key sources of food waste</li> <li>• Plan to reduce waste is too broad or unorganized</li> <li>• Data collected is not referenced</li> <li>• Critical thinking responses lack clarity or are unanswered</li> <li>• Critical thinking responses have numerous grammatical or spelling errors</li> </ul>
<p><b>Scoring</b></p>	<p>40 39 38 37 36 35 34 33 32 31</p>	<p>30 39 28 27 26 25 24 23 22 21</p>	<p>20 19 18 17 16 15 14 13 12 11</p>	<p>10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1</p>
<p>Up to 10 additional points may be gained by answering the bonus question with a response that is exceptionally written and thorough.</p>				

Bonus Points: \_\_\_\_\_

Total Score: \_\_\_\_\_